



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/583,849	05/31/2000		Marcos N. Novaes	POU9-2000-0008-US1	4360	
75	590	01/23/2004		EXAMINER		
Blanche E Sch			PARTON, KEVIN S			
Heslin & Rother 5 Columbia Circ				ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
Albany, NY 1	2203			2153		
				DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			PRG				
A	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/583,849	NOVAES ET AL.					
·	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kevin Parton	2153					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 06 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli 1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper repict ich places the application.	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data	nan SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The delayed been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exter 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortener (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	nsion and the corresponding amount of the distance of the distance of the distance of the corresponding amount of the	e fee. The appropriate ext the final Office action; or	ension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	pecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	ner consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	terially reducing or s	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cance	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected clair	ns.				
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: Second	or reconsideration has been con ee Continuation Sheet	sidered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows	:						
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 4-36</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:		a / λ_{-}					
	S.	GLENTON B	. BURGESS TENT EXAMINER				

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINED TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The applicant's arguments submitted 06 January 2003 have been considered but are not persuasive. The applicant argues that the Miller references shows "no description, teaching, or suggestion... of mapping a node address to a network object to obtain the priority from the network object" (page 3, paragraph 2). The argument is not persuasive because the Miller reference does teach this limitation. Specifically, Miller provides means to associate a node address to a particular service or services and associated priorities (column 6, lines 28-36). Specifically, Miller teaches that "information about a service...is placed in a server entry...by an operation invoked by a system manager." This is clearly service and priority information being mapped to a network address. Further, node addresses are clearly mapped to node objects in this same name server.

The applicant further argues "the network address and the priority in miller are merely information contained in a server entry which is provided to the client" (page 3, paragraph 3). Please note that this function of the reference reads on the claims as currently presented. The Miller reference does teach means for mapping one or more node addresses...wherein the mapping of a node address maps the node address to a particular network object. The Miller reference reads on the current claim limitations as shown in the previous rejection.